



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/476,448	12/30/1999	STUART LEE BRESLOW	4034-46	7867
Gregg Goldmar	7590 06/04/200 [°]	EXAMINER		
UBS AG, Stamford Branch			RUDY, ANDREW J	
677 Washington Blvd Stamford, CT 06901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	06/04/2007	· PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/476,448	BRESLOW ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	, ,	1			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the state of	DN. imely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 No	ovember 2006.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>65-67 and 72-79</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 65-67 and 72-79 are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement	t.			
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) 🗍	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	a)-(d) or (f).			
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	•					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summan				
	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 65-67 and 72-79 are pending.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: A computer based system for trading financial instruments . . . transmitting an alert in real-time; and a computer based system for trading financial instruments . . . determining whether an order is validated or not . . . in case of non-validation The species are independent or distinct because one system does not entertain non-validation.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/476,448 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Drawings

3. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the drawings are not reflective of the claimed invention. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Art Unit: 3627

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627